

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,566		02/24/2004	Michael D. Sawyer	P1984US00	9829	
32710	7590	09/20/2006		EXAMINER		
Stites & H	arbison	PLLC	DUONG, HUNG V			
TransPotomac Plaza 1199 North Fairfax Street, Suite 900				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria,				2835		
				DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/785,566	SAWYER, MICHAEL D.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hung v. Duong	2835		

	Hung v. Duong	2835	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rejo	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. D Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <i>1-15 and 17-27</i> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
The request for reconsideration has been considered but the cited art is still good for the broad claimed	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		,
3. Other:		Hoy V. K	
		7/1/	0

⁴HUNG VAN DŮONG PRIMARY EXAMINER